

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,593	SHI, PENG-CHENG	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829	

All Participants:

(1) Jermele M. Hollington (examiner).

(2) Belinda Lee (applicant's representative).

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: May 23, 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the contact information is outside of the U.S., the examiner emailed the applicant's representative on May 23, 2006 to find if there was any correspondence sent to the office regarding the Office Action mailed on November 21, 2005. The applicant's representative emailed the examiner back on May 28, 2006 to confirm no correspondence was sent and the case is abandoned. .